Miles Christi XXVII

RESISTANCE COLLABORATION

• There were adjustments at the beginning, to put it mildly, but things are settling smoothly in the; 8 bishop, 120 priests strong (give or take a few); resistance to the self-demolition of the Church. The bishops are roaming around and collaborate on all five continents, and we haven’t heard about a split in the resistance since the memorable irish split, back before covid. Just now, Bishop Gerardo Zendejas drove 800 miles, to a hospital in faraway Manitoba, in order to anoint Fr Edward MacDonald, one of his brave priests.

And, by far, we are not the only resistors in the world; we simply pray other Catholics come to a better understanding of the situation a heretical pope puts us in; in the meantime…

I

“WHO AM I TO JUDGE” JUDGES

• In the novus ordo Mgr Vigano has been blowing his whistle against Francis, who would like to be left in peace destroying, tearing to pieces the Church. The dragon will not be disturbed from maiming and devouring, so, thirty-six years after the other Archbishop, he got the badge of honor only heretics can provide: an invalid, wrongful and unjust sentence of excommunication from the conciliar Church.

It started with the newfangled expeditious “extrajudicial” procedure against Archbishop Vigano. Fr Pivert wonders why the sspx endorses the procedure, and rightly points out that it is a speedy, unjust procedure, designed in the newchurch to get rid of opponents swiftly. The procedure is also infamous in the Resistance, as it was the same procedure that was used in that fateful year 2012 against Fr Pinaud and Salenave, by the sspx itself, combined with the use of identity theft. The rest of us declined these banana republic trials, like Vigano, because outside of cases like pedophilia, the Church should take time to find out whether or not its priests and prelates are criminals, and does not remove them from office after a careful analysis.

The goal was to criminalize, lay guilt upon one’s neighbors, and represent them as dangerous criminals on the loose those who *stood* against the idea of a canonical agreement with the newchurch before the return of Rome to Tradition. Therefore, in our little group of priests, what is happening to Archbishop Vigano is a disgusting reminder.

Graver, on the part of the novus ordo, is that Cardinal “Tucho Fernandez” is at the head of the revolutionary tribunal. He is the author of “Heal me with your mouth, the art of kissing”(1995) and the disgusting and filthy “Mystical passion: spirituality and sensuality”(1998)… etc. There is no mystery there, perversion is at the helm and proclaims itself, just as Sodom (and now Paris) proclaimed its own perversion according Prophet Isaias. This wicked man is the judge Francis is sending against Vigano, and the sspx wants Vigano to accept that court as an instrument of justice?

“Dona mihi breakum!” we used to say in my seminary days... And why the use of veiled irony and the absence of reference to the many other good points Vigano has made, for which the revolutionary “Comite de Salut Public” is sentencing him?

While the sspx and *some* conservatives are tiptoeing their way out of supporting him, “to avoid being schismatic”, the little world of the resistance is sympathizing with him and praises his courage. The piece written by “Non Possumus” is excellent. Cardinal Burke, bishop Strickland, Cardinal Sarah and the other conservative prelates have duly severed communications with him. Overall, our sedevacantist friends are quite jubilant, led by Mel Gibson, the one and only.

Before our eyes is a brave shepherd set upon by a pack of hyenas: our attention should be focused on the rabid predators, not on one of his shoe lace, which is not properly tied. We must not howl with the wolves. The main criminal here is Francis, a criminal in the Faith, who, as Our Lord say “is not entering into the kingdom of Heaven and prevents others from entering it”.

THE WISDOM OF CARDINAL CAJETAN

Contrary to others within the Ecclesia Dei circles, John Vennari, Taylor Marshall and many others do not look the other way either and do not distance themselves on the grounds of sedevacantism of the Italian Archbishop. Cardinal Cajetan would advise us to leave him in peace, as long as a heretical pope is in place: “If somebody, for a reasonable motive, holds as a suspect the person of the Pope, and refuse his presence, even his jurisdiction, he does not commit any delict of schism, nor any other delict as long as he is ready to accept the Pope if he were not suspect. It is obvious that we have the right to avoid what is causing damage and to prevent dangers”.

That is the principle worthy to be applied, not only to Archbishop Vigano, but to many other sedevacantists, now that Rome today has significantly worsened since 1988, thirty six years ago. As to the sedevacantists who elevate their high opinion to the level of religion and send others, including their sede counterparts to Hell, there is no need to demonstrate they have established a religious sect.

For the moment, we can also grant Vigano some time at least to get more precise bearings. At times he is doing so, for instance when he use the term “lack of legitimacy” instead of “lack of validity”, which is our stand, methinks.

The cause of sedevacantism is on one hand the revolution of Vatican II and a bit modern, voluntaristic, positivist notion of authority. People who became sedes should have been left undisturbed in their Catholic Faith, they did not initiate the chaos we are in now. In this the judgment emitted against Vigano is patently false: it is not the accused, but another “enemy man” who went into the field and sowed heresy and schism. The beam is Francis, and I wouldn’t call Vigano a straw… all flesh is grass anyways.

WHO IS TO BE BLAMED?

• Archbishop Vigano is a work in progress, and I wish he would take his time before tackling the dicey question of the heretical pope; the sin of heresy of those who, above the See of Peter, have sat “the throne of abominable impiety”. On this question, there is absolutely no unanimity among theologians. Some of his arguments seem to be taken from old sede pieces.

For instance, Cum Ex, of Paul IV, simple as it sounds, cannot be of any use for us. Cum Ex was tossed by Vatican I, and adhered to by the Dollinger and his schismatic crowd *in illo tempore*. Not that Dollinger believed in its contents, but that both his camp and the Aula of Vatican II could not hold the patently false notion that even private heretics are ipso facto removed from office. It had fell into disuse and could be tossed because papal infallibility does not apply on *disciplinary* matters (something different from Faith and Morals). The references on this are multiple (all serious historians of Vatican I, the DTC, the Catholic Encyclopedia, the acts of the Council itself), and even books were written about the non-infallibility of Cum Ex.

Cum Ex contains the obvious error that even the secret or private delict of heresy causes automatic loss of office. This is honey to all proponents of automatic loss of office, but in a real life, in the juridical order of things, it makes no sense; but this is what Cum Ex argues. Paul IV, a renowned choleric man, wanted to detect the moles of his time, and wipe them out the church: things are not so simple, and of his piece, the Church only retained the idea of a loss of membership to the “soul of the Church”, to avoid condemning him. So, I hope a friendly hand will extend these informations for his Lordship’s consideration, same as some other sedevacantist tropes. And hopefully, people like Taylor Marshal shall get the information as well; our ignorance is sedevacantism best chance.

But the main baby of that bath water remains. It is the fact that Francis is a heretic and needs to be exposed and attacked as such, as per the *office of a bishop* whose job is both to strike the wolves with one hand of his crozier and to gather the sheep with the other end. Let us strike as to gather and gather as to strike.

AN ADDED FORCE FOR GOOD

• If one more bishop adds his weight in the fight against the conciliar church, we should rejoice; bishops are like artillery in a battle, the bigger, the better… “As long as the Name of Jesus Christ is praised, (and it is indeed the case), I have rejoiced, and I will continue to rejoice”, said St Paul to the Philippians. If the split of 2012 occurred, it is because a canonical recognition with heretics is bound to enable roman gangsters to *block the future consecration of a line of valid catholic bishops*. This is what we foresaw back then, and we all urged Bishop Williamson to perform his duty, because at least for us, it is upon such an unquestionable line that the sacramental continuation of Catholic Tradition hinges. And he performed this duty beyond our fondest wishes, under the scorn of the sspx, whose turn has come now to face the enemies of the church or continue to slowly fade.

Now, the truth is extending its gains; in bishops Castro de Mayer, Lazo and Vigano, we are getting a glimpse of the end of the Crisis of the Chuch: prelates who do not wear purple attire in vain; prelates in truth, prelates for the service of the truth at the expense of their own life if necessary. And here we must mention that it was quite daring of Vigano to attack McCarrick, to attack the wolves instead of remaining silent; better if he talks a bit too much than if he remains silent. Cursed be all the prelates that remain silent.

II

FACING ANGRY, DESTRUCTIVE HERETICS

Enemies of the Faith as they clearly are; how can we expect that the modernist occupiers will grant us the permission to perpetuate and spread what is so clearly against their revolt against Tradition, their beloved Vatican II? The rag of condemnation of Vigano mentions the rejection of Vatican II as a schismatic act; it confirms that today’s authorities are hell bent into enforcing the departure from the Apostolic Tradition they were created to maintain. This applies today even more than in 1988, when the decree “Ecclesia Dei adflicta” stated a modernist notion of “living tradition” as the cause of the sentence. Today things are even simpler: Francis simply equates Tradition and Evolution; faith and choice. Therefore, he makes no mystery of his hatred of Catholic Tradition, in the litanies that he constantly rants against it. Here it is:

Pachamama, have mercy on the Planet.

Pachapapa, have mercy on the environment.

Spirit of Whoeverness, rid us from the intolerant.

Antiquarianists, kill them for us.

Arrogant, ktfu.

Atheists,

Backwardists,

Clerical,

Closed in the past,

Defensive,

Doctrinaire,

Disruptive elements,

Donatists,

Fomenters of coprophagia,

Fundamentalist,

Hypocritical,

Ideologues,

Immatures,

Integralists,

Judases,

Legalistic,

Liquid,

Little monsters,

Mentally deranged,

Museum mummies,

Nasty,

Nazis,

Negationnists,

Neurotic,

Obsessed,

Obstinate,

Old maids,

Outdated,

Pagans,

Pelagian,

Pepper-faced,

Pernicious,

Plague,

Presumptuous,

Rebellious,

Restorationist,

Rigid,

Rigorous,

Ritualistic,

Scary,

Self-absorbed,

Sterile,

Swarmy idolaters,

Stench of the devil,

Vain,

Weak-hoped,

Wheeler-dealers,

Dead… kill them for us.

(sourced, don’t tell anyone, from the *Traditio* website)

As Francis is about to ban the Traditional Mass once more; how can you expect he should grant anyone the permission to consecrate the traditional bishops that precisely make the continuation of the Traditional mass possible? How do you expect to get canonical approval, or to keep the canonical gadget, get a prelature, keep a canonical status and stamp of recognition… while consecrating bishops for a Tradition that Francis hates so much? Only a liberal mind can fail to recognize the contradiction. Radicals like Francis do not have these illusions.

THE DEVIL ALWAYS FEARS EXPOSURE THE MOST

• What Archbishop Vigano should be praised the most, is his pontifical earnestness to see off Francis. What Francis needs above all things is exposure. The little beedy Resistance does not possess those large, long range and powerful projectors to illuminate target Francis; like the battleships of yore, at the battle of Jutland, at the second battle of Guadalcanal, at the incredible battle of Savo island, where projectors had a critical effect.

And Vigano was a Vatican insider, up until recent years. For instance, briefly after Francis election, he met him, submitting the files of the crimes of many prelates, and their corruption. Francis told him “I will read them tonight”, and guess what happened? Francis keeps the files for blackmail, and not only maintained perverted people in their office, but protected and promoted them. The iniquity of Francis goes way beyond his own doings; it is a reign of perversion, where perversion is a means to secure his reign, just like the devil does, binding people in their sin and by their sins, to maintain them in an operation of iniquity.

• I wish History could be a good teacher as to what to do now. When St Bernard found pope Anacletus, he got to work with his 2m diameter projector; he used his almost prophetic authority, going in long journeys to the imperial court, then to the courts of France, England and Spain until nobody followed Anacletus anymore, and a good pope was restored to the church. To say that Francis is not the pope does not cut it, as long as he stays there and runs the place, appoints bishops, decrees jubilees and this and that, plays the fool on the balcony, continues to deceive people like queen Jezabel. St Bernard yanked Anacletus out of his throne.

And it can be that our enemies will do the jezabellian throwing from the balcony by themselves. The chaos Bidet&Macron are bringing upon themselves, is undermining the system that Revolution created: Modern Democracy; the divinization of the self-will of man. After Fatima, the masonic government that was persecuting the Church fell so naturally; and a great man, Salazar, came into power just as naturally, and he restored the Kingship of Christ on Portugal. Therefore, we must be of good heart, God watches, and while there is time to be courageous in front of the wolves, let us hold on the confession of Faith (“Teneamus Confessionem” says St Paul in Hebrews).

III

WHAT KIND OF SEDEVACANTIST IS ARCHBISHOP VIGANO?

Now that he states the seat is vacant, would Vigano be a type of sedevacantist we can work with? After all, even some sspx priests that I know do not mention the name of the Pope in the canon of the Mass (only very few to be sure), and Archbishop Lefebvre kept some sedes at his side, with the tacit agreement they would not rock the boat.

It remains to be seen if sedevacantists will be happy to coexist with a new family of sedevacantism that still hold as valid popes John XXIII, Paul VI, John-Paul II and Benedict XVI? I am not even sure he is a benevacantist, since he holds Benedict as another Hegelian heretic, and it is all music to my ears. Vigano is very candid before those who still don’t understand how bad Benedict was, even if his motu proprio “Summorum Pontificum” and all his previous attempts to divide us (as Vigano correctly noted), backfired. Same candor with the sedes, and I think he never swam well with the Vatican crocodiles because of this candor.

For the moment Archbishop Vigano denounces the former popes as fomenters of heresy, yet still popes, and excludes Francis on the basis of the flaws of his election process.

We must not turn a blind eye on the predecessors of Francis, and Vigano is doing no such thing. The decree on Religious Liberty is heretical, impossible to reconcile with the Syllabus of Pius IX, as both documents say the opposite, almost word for word, and the latter document is an infallible statement. Dignitatis Humanae did not come out thin air; it is promulgated by Paul VI; and such promulgation carries the censure for heresy, as there is no way the central tenet of the Syllabus can be ignored by the successor of Pius IX and any of the bishops who took part in the destruction of the wonderful union of Church and State, the keystone of Christendom, from Constatine to… Paul VI. Paul VI is the man who destroyed all the Concordates with Christian States, in the name of, and in the years following the heretical decree “Dignitatis Humanae”. If we were back in the seventies, Vigano would be another Castro de Mayer.

While being strong on doctrine, we cannot see in Vigano the behavior of most sedevacantists which is to reject non sedes, as yours truly, and you, dear reader. We do not refuse sacraments to sedevacantists, but sedevacantists are famous for anathematizing anything that moves: they anathematize us, because we are not sedes, and most typically, other branches of competing sedevacantism. As soon as Bishop Roy was consecrated by Bishop Rodrigo, Bishop McGuire issued a warning against him. The former now collaborates with Bishop Pivarunas, who is at odds with the main sanbornite line of non-conclavist Thucism. Just recently, Fr Jenkins issued another piece questioning the validity of the line of Archbishop Lefebvre and he is a famous opponent to the validity of the Thuc line.

So, if Archbishop Vigano actually becomes a benevacantist (a sede that puts the *break of papal line* at the death of Benedict XVI), I wonder if the initial enthusiasm of Bishop Sanborn, (and Mel Gibson,) is going to stay intact. Some benevacantists, not Vigano himself, are still proponents of the doctrines of John-Paul II; that is not a good sign.

For the moment, we can’t even know to what degree Archbishop Vigano challenges the existence of Francis papacy altogether. Are all the administrative acts of Francis invalid, especially the nomination of bishops? Was his nomination by Francis as papal Nuntio in Washington valid? Can Francis issue a decree indulgences for the Holy Year 2025? Let me quote this: “The paradox is that the head of the ‘conciliar church’ who is heretical and apostate, can also be considered Pontiff of the Holy Roman Catholic Church, and as usurping from Our Lord the voice of His Bride so as to dishonor her and Jesus Christ Himself. Here we have an overlap of two entities, Church and anti-church, in the same Heirarchy, and this what constitutes the “masterstroke of Satan” that Archbishop Lefebvre denounced from the beginning.” (interview with CFN). Elsewhere he says the actions of todays authorities of the Church put into question their *legitimacy* (something different from outright validity).

At times, Vigano makes the correct distinction, and fits the Dominican solution. Francis/whoever you want to call him, retains something of the papal office, while losing something else. We could say the same about Bidet & Macron… Authorities that spend themselves in the destruction of their charges, and who act against the common good naturally lose their legitimacy.

In these lines, Vigano makes a certain nod to the position of the Resistance, I cannot doubt it. If this is the case, patient I must be and “cross me fingers”; even if I would like to have a little more on my plate.

• And what happens in the eyes of Vigano, if Francis or his successor were to convert? He rejected the thesis of Cassissiacum in his Taylor Marshal interview. In it, he simply said, with us, that we will either have a good pope again, by divine intervention, or it will be the end of the world.

The sentence on Vigano is unjust, and he can ignore it entirely. A heretical pontiff cannot pass it, because the Church never accepted the legitimacy of judgments or “acts of jurisdiction” passed by heretics, especially heretics calling themselves “who am I to judge” (can.2264: “Acts of jurisdiction, both in the internal and external forum, placed by excommunicated are not licit”).

From day one, we have considered Vigano to be a work in progress, and let us give him some time to find his bearings, and in particular about the distinction between liceity and validity. Since he still has communication with us, there is a reasonable chance he will listen to some of his reasonable confreres. Bishop Williamson seems to indicate there is some optimism to be had, and he is naturally a pessimist. If the news is bad, trust the optimist; if the Vigano is good, trust the pessimist.

IV

HERETICS MUST BE FLED, *IURE DIVINO*

• To both the sedevacantists and the ecclesiadei/sspxists, we say the same: Acts of Jurisdiction performed by heretics are *illicit*, illegitimate or sinful, vi haeresi, automatically, ipso facto, ex natura sua. Am I asking too much of my sspx/ecclesiadei and too little of my sedevacantist friends by proposing to say that it is *illegal, illicit* for heretics to arraign Vigano before a tribunal presided by not only by heretical judges (who are ipso facto excommunicated for that), but who are also, quite probably, moral perverts, like cardinal Fernandez?

Illicit acts are *gravely forbidden*; you go to hell if you perform willingly an illict act. It is illicit for a layman to preach after the Gospel; it is illicit for a woman to distribute Holy Communion; it is illicit to teach heresies in seminaries; it is illicit for teachers to teach in the same seminaries without swearing the Antimodernist Oath. Sedevacantists are unsatisfied with this; for them, things have to be invalid… and not this seemingly middle color of illicitness. I don’t understand why; since there is no graver threat than the peril of Hell. People unwillingly marooned in the novus ordo may not lose the faith, and may save their souls, but we must get them out of there because of the peril of hell, or the illegitimate nature of their position. This is what happened to Vigano, belatedly. As years went by, he wondered, “Am I doing the right thing?” “Am I allowed to collaborate with the destructors of the Church?” From the state of ignorance, and partial negligence, he informed his conscience and at last drew the line; something we have good hopes to see among the many shades of the novus ordo: the ecclesiadei circles, the TLM people, and even among people who still go to the novus ordo mass. They come at the eleventh hour, and will get paid with the same Talent of Tradition.

Until it is destroyed and anathematized, the acts of jurisdiction of the novus ordo church are illicit and we have seen over and again that the outcome of these acts is the self-demolition of the Chruch: Year after year, people who barely have the catholic faith, or no faith at all are appointed to run dioceses throughout the world. Their appointment, while possibly valid, is a grave injustice to the Catholic Church, who needs proper leaders to get souls to Heaven. Similarly, novus ordo marriages are illicit, because the novus ordo notion of marriage is wrongful. The ceremony of novus ordo marriage is surrounded by preparations, homilies, and recommendations that are contrary to the primary end of marriage (when the sacrament is not forcibly sold at minimum price of 5000 pesos here in the Philippines). So, while these marriages may have taken place validly, the illicit way they were conducted is very damaging to the Church, let alone the spouses, whose marriages flounder more and more, as showed by the divorce statistics today.

Therefore, the term “Illicit” is not a word in the air. An illegitimate authority, or a tyrant may be ignored if there is no peril of scandal, says St Thomas, and Vigano’s ignoring Fernandez’ tribunal is no scandal at all.

• Once illicitness is established on the basis of the crime and delict of heresy, the invalidity of an office is also bound to follow, but by a longer process. This is because the Church is a public juridical society, where the accounting for things takes time and a certain process, to avoid unjust accusations for instance.

In the meantime, the Catholic Church prohibits *at once* anyone, with the knowledge of the heresy of the holder of office to make use of these acts of jurisdiction. As don Sarda y Salvani said in his “Liberalism is a sin”, we do not have to wait for the official, nominative condemnation of heretics and liberals before we separate from them. What is vital is to keep a safe distance from heresy and its many semi-heretical forms, in the same spirit as the Epistle of St John, or the 1974 Declaration of Archbishop Lefebvre. “We have nothing to do with the new rome!” said he; “Nothing?” “Nothing!” Vigano has that same spirit.

• Sheep have one pair of eyes only, but two pairs of legs. Shepherds, like Archbishop Vigano, God bless him, have a shepherd staff, they have to take down the wolves ex officio, and *in this* we collaborate fully with them. The goal is to yank Francis out of his position, from which he continues to cause damage, until he changes or is replaced by a good pope, a true father.

By his loud voice, Archbishop Vigano is providing us just that. If only more bishops would not cow down, like the most reverend Burke, Schneider, Sarah… Let them at least call the existence of the conciliar church that hides in plain sight; the schism of Francis would begin to end.

For the moment, Vigano is not throwing us to hell, as many sedes do; nor is he even scornfully condescending to us, as he often talks to us whenever we like. He acknowledges that many good Catholics are under Francis, which, as the “non Possumus” website indicates, implies Francis is the pope (‘de facto’). He cannot imagine that for sixty years, as the sedevacantists do, the means of sanctifications were invalid in the Church at large. Contrary to the allegation of the revolutionary tribunal, he is not breaking communion with people belonging to the novus ordo still, he has always been lenient towards the sspx, whom he finds only a bit tired of the fight. He neither scorns the little resistance, nor the sedevacantists, as far as I can tell. He is not associating with the cassissiacum ‘Mater boni Consilii’ Institute in Italy, despite the fact that they are not far at all from his seminary in Central Italy.

Most probably, he is a work in progress, with some approximations at times (the Shophar episode, the Sol Invictus, the excessive enthusiasm for Trump), but these missteps were minor, and are willingly recanted when notified respectfully to him (“omnes nobis, peccavimus et egemus gloria Dei”, St Paul would remark, “We’ve all sinned and fallen short of the glory of God” (Romans.)). In his argumentation, Archbishop Vigano is using both the premise posed by Archbishop Lefebvre (no one is bound to obey the new church, born after Vatican II) and by the sedevacantists (no one is bound to obey a non-pope). Should we forgive him the latter because of the former? Perhaps, for the moment at least.

IV

WHAT ABOUT THE SSPX, IN THE VIGANO MOMENT?

• In its official assessment about Vigano, we do not know whether the sspx approves or disapproves the procedure intended against Vigano by the Vatican, but the good points of Vigano are forgotten and the accusation of sedevacantism is conveniently upheld. While others are distancing themselves just now, the sspx has never been of many words on Vigano, (while in full laudatory mode for Huonder). From what we can make and if the piece of Fr Gleize is to be trusted, Vigano is a neophyte in the crisis of the church. He is carried away by his passion, misled by his inexperience, whereas the sspx has a deft handling of all the complexities of today’s crisis in the Church.

Timewise, yes, you can grant it; the sspx has been around for 50 years instead of five. Quality wise, no. Vigano has a deep insight in the abyssal stench of today’s novus ordo. His insight fits the actual condition of things, whereas the sspx clings to the idea that the novus ordo establishment is curable and can be collaborated with: before 1988, Archbishop Lefebvre tried and failed, for the sake of demonstration. But now we have this new situation of “Mens Rea”, extreme obstination in perversity, obdurate opposition to the Catholic Faith.

• Consequently the sspx is dealing with Francis with the wrong end of the crozier, the gathering one, on account of its “maturity and experience”, and the presumption that it can draw near dangerous heretics and negotiate with them legal acceptance, canonical recognition. Vigano is now dealt the ten feet pole, something contrasting very much with the love the sspx exhibited to Mgr Huonder, who neither condemned Vatican II outright, and still says he says the new mass, making him a bi-ritualist, like the other darling of the sspx, Mgr Athanasius Schneider, bishop of Astana. The latter is a nice man, and was much braver than the sspx during the stupid covid, but as he is still clearly novus ordo (See his involvement in the horrific interreligious meeting recently presided by Francis himself in Astana).

What is difficult to understand is why the sspx isn’t showing the same patience towards Archbishop Vigano, who is not novus ordo at all, while condoning the double sidedness of a bishop Schneider? Technically, if you are overlooking the biritualism of the one, you should overlook the alleged sede tendencies of the others, especially if the second one is 100% catholic and untainted with modernism and no friend of Francis at all. Bishop Schneider, hosted in his diocese, the congress of world religions in 2022 in Astana. Normally the sspx should tell him he is engaged on a dangerous path, that the sspx should not take; while Bishop Vigano, who is struggling with a difficult question should be approached and encouraged.

With Huonder, the ship has sailed, very providentially, as one year exactly after he performed dubious rites in the sspx seminary of Zaitzkoffen, he dies by the most painful and lightning form of cancer: Pancreas Cancer: within a week you are dead, and in great pains. I hope and pray he saved his soul, and the main responsible of this forfeiture are the sspx authorities who are refusing to consider the novus ordo line of validity as doubtful, refusing to conditionally ordain bishops and priests who return to Tradition, and refuse to teach the position of Archbishop Lefebvre on novus ordo sacraments. Within the sspx, liberal priests like Fr Loschi in Brittany openly wished the oils consecrated by bishop Huonder were used everywhere, bragging “We now have four bishops again”. That was reported by Fr Bruno osb., who collaborates with the resistance in Brittany, and got new attendees from Fr Loschi. The resistance both benefits from the sspx liberal priests to grow, and sympathizes with the traditional sspx priests, in the hope the latter will rise.

• One can be glad that Divine Power intervened, both providing the sspx milieus that God is not pleased with the novus ordo at all, and providing the sspx a sign that it is the time for them to provide for their future and consecrate bishops along the undisputed line of Archbishop Lefebvre.

At the risk of eating some flak, I say that God still loves the sspx. If the sspx was lost, beyond repair, such signs would not be given. We must conclude that there is still a great good the sspx can do for the Church if it gets its act together. A herd of 700 free wills can still turn in the right direction. It would be painful, because liberals always entrench themselves better in control positions, as Fr de Jorna is about to taste.

The latter is now replaced at the head of the big District of France, and he just expressed a weak, muffled wish for the for a stronger course of action. This was his second last newsletter; just mentioning that the sspx bishops are not as young and sprucy as before… It is a pity to see him just murmuring that if the sspx consecrates without papal mandate there will be condemnation from the world, as in 1988. The CFN network has picked up the veiled lament of Fr de Jorna, and like us, notices that the rumors have been circulating fruitlessly for years now, without any sign of actual commitment to consecrate bishops against the heretical will of Francis… and Fr de Jorna is replaced this month by a pliant young priest.

A LIBERAL ILLUSION

• In a liberal illusion, unfortunately, the sspx is caught between a rock and a hard place: the Rock is Francis refusal to grant permission to consecrate bishops to perpetuate a valid lifeline for the Tradition he hates so much. The Hard place is the refusal of the sspx to consecrate against papal prohibition, unlike the archbishop in 1988. This is mysterious, because the only risk for the sspx in doing so… would be to find itself in the same position as the Resistance, and to lay an action which is strictly the same as what they still praise Archbishop Lefebvre for... They would have to pinch their noses, and endure our congratulations (most sincere in my case); face the fatality of survival; lament over their renewed vitality; cry over the birthday cake.

So, my assumption, confirmed by the many previous unconfirmed rumors and promises, is that we are going to hear more and more rumors and promises of episcopal consecrations (I already have a nice collection of sspx consecration rumors from my friends on the other side of the fence)… until the three sspx bishops see their Maker, sowmewhat 20 years from now, give or take (the “youngest” one is now 66). The throw of promises is a clerical sport:

After Fr Betin and Delagneau floated the rumor last year, Fr Pagliarani said bishops would be consecrated within a year… and nothing happened. That’s what should worry the sspxers: a new doctrine on novus ordo sacraments, harboring of novus ordo priests and bishops without convalidation; and nothingburgerial promises. I pray they prove me wrong; so far, no good.

A SITUATION WORSE THAN IN 1988

And, as he asks us to, on very obvious grounds; should we forgive Vigano on account of the worsening of the situation in Rome since 1988? Archbishop Lefebvre himself, Vigano can remind, made himself the point that the day may come when the man sitting on the throne of Peter may not be pope. Is the timing of Vigano right? In the past we just had to distance ourselves from the contagion of heresy and liberalism. The dogmatic and moral perversion of Rome was manifest, but not as blatant as it is today and did not emit such a stench, like the Paris Olympics compared to previous Olympics.

Today the novus ordo church is rotting with perversion, especially the crime of sodomy, which also falls under the canonical censure of “infamy”, in the presence of a “Mens Rea”, a guilt laden collective mind in the novus ordo upper echelons. Attila Sinke Guimaraes talks about an “Animus Delendi”, a will to destroy. If, in 1988, Archbishop Lefebvre said “we refuse to collaborate with the destroyers of the Church”, what would he say today with the wealth of evidence we have?

Does the worsening perversity at the helm force us to adopt a new attitude?

At the time of the consecrations of 1988 John-Paul II was a terribly effective heretic, but very paternal and kind outwardly; John-Paul II was only mildly complicit, or ineffective against Fr Maciel, Fr Emmanuel-Marie and other perverts of his time, while Francis is their protector, as the spectacular scandal that took place in Chile a few years ago proves, along the many other scandals. Francis is rabid and bitter, not much of a polite man; and quite ineffective at his job of heresy, as he sends millions towards tradition by his anger, by his bile. Would Vigano have woken up without Francis the destroyer?

V

WOULD FRANCIS BE AN ANTIPOPE…

something I do NOT concede, (you need a pope in the first place, to have an antipope), many things are still left undone, just as in the case of Antipope Anacletus who “could depend upon the empire, France, upon nearly all the Catholic powers; but Anacletus had possession of Rome; he was, in fact, seated upon the throne of St Peter, and availed himself of the prerogatives and preponderance which belonged to that imposing name. The immediate vassals of the Holy See also lent him their arms; and among the latter, Roger of Sicily showed himself the more devoted to him, that his interest was bound up with the cause of the antipope.” (St Bernard de Clairvaux, by Father Ratisbonne). If you say he is down, take him down, and I am all with you, dear sede friends, otherwise, keep your peace.

What Fr Ratisbonne says about Anacletus applies to Francis. If he is pope, it is by the good grace of Barack Hussein Obama, (Benedict is a Bush Pope), and behind him the whole evil assemblage of modern democracies. We have no idea that the “Freedom and Exaltation of our Holy Mother the Church” has been long lost. Francis is backed by all the diverse forms of Freemasonry and is particularly beholden to the Jewish Lobby. Consequently, the “Who am I to judge” pope is the darling of the media, and all head of states of the collective waste pay due respect to him, as we could see recently and cringingly at the G7 meeting in Italy, when the bidet kissed his head...

You can always say he is not the pope, that does not translate into effect; what we say is not necessarily what is, and the Devil can be more than happy to let you say that Francis is not the pope while he keeps for himself not only the apparel, but the whole effective machinery of the papacy and the luxury of declaring you a schismatic for not recognizing him.

So, let’s not imagine that even half of the job is done with Francis. Like St Bernard, jump on your horse, and start touring all the courts of Europe, one by one, and destroy his *de facto* papacy, (the *de iure* is long gone), stop his power to cause eternal harm to souls.

To take him down, his backers and original secret (and yet no so secret) promoters need to be taken down, and thankfully, since February 2022, they are beginning to unravel, because,

VI

ANOTHER GIANT IS STIRRING

• Something else is stirring, aside from Archbishop Vigano, and it is Russia itself. Russia is winning its war of attrition (military, political, diplomatic, economical and civilizational attrition) against NATO and the rotten West. There will be no second covid mascarade, poisonous jabs; and every promotion of sodomy and crimes of the West is now pilloried out loud, and clearly rejected by the remaining three quarters of the world.

Take for instance France, a part of that “Collective West”. France, of Olympic shame, has nothing to bring to Africa, unlike before, in missionary times; and its own present perverse ways were clearly denounced by the Africans, and France was duly showed the door, one African country after the other. The whole world is laughing at (‘brigitte’) Jean-Michel Macron, and the French Nero is feeling the end of his reign; not that the troubles of France may be ending. France will have much to suffer and after a long tunnel, may rise again… on a Russian train.

The scale of the Ukraine war is already unprecedented since ww2, and Viktor Orban is warning that the escalation can be such that the number of victims could double in just two months, which would mean one million fatalities and three million wounded people.

• In the meantime, the West is already feeling the beginning of an economical plunge, the sterling German economy in particular. In the US, this year, the long delayed financial collapse may come to fruition: the servicing of the debt is becoming the Government biggest expense, (exactly as in France, by a report of the “Cour des Comptes”) at 1.7 trillion or 1700 billion, or 1700 000 millions of dollars… or 1700 000 000 000 $... This is completely out of control: in 2024, every American taxpayer owes 10000 dollars of *interest* on top of a federal debt that represents 200 000 dollars per taxpayer, and that is just the federal debt! Of that federal debt, (that is not the debt of the States and other communities, nor the private debt,) one trillion of dollars is now added to the 35 trillion *every two months*.

As I write these lines, the US government is not providing any explanations as to why the man who shot Trump accurately (he was on target, and miraculously, the Don turned his head), got access to a clear line of sight, so close to the President. If power is not handed to Trump in the next election, this time, a civil war is likely. Not that Europe is better off: it is economically plunging, and France is in chaos, and the Olympic champion of blasphemy.

VII

A FINAL INSULT BEFORE THE PLUNGE

• There is more to unpack about this attack on God, than these few pages can say. It was a religious act, like the inauguration of the St Gothard tunnel a few years ago; the “Games of Hell”. Modern states pretend to be neutral, but if you make the will of man the rule of good and evil, you end up worshipping the topmost narcissist, Lucifer, and turn against his opposite, our King, Jesus-Christ.

This time, there was a serious backlash against Macron and Jean-Michel (Brigitte), from the rest of the normal world, who is now isolating the collective waste from this torrent of … that flowed down Paris. The world does not function like the micro world of macron… As the backlash became thick and fat, the lesbian who declared “the new gay testament” at the “scene de la cene sur la seine”(stupid play on words) threatens to sue others for “grossophobia”; but who can deny she is indeed gross, proud to be a deformed creature, an error of nature? That is the plan, isn’t it?

Difformity in the name of difference. And the cringe, so typified by the male costume of Brigitte, and the attempt on Christ was so repugnantly made, that it had the opposite effect, declaring how rotten, impotent, useless, deeply stupid, the waste has become. Evil stinks, that’s natural; evil people should know.

The silence of pope Francis, for ten days, was deafening as Trump, Musk, Orban, Mel Gibson, Djokovic, my heroes, kind of; and the good old sspx (my heroes later), are taking a dig at the abomination, relayed by muslims, Chinese communists, eskimoes, martians... The new religion in place in Rome today makes some false religions look good… who would’ve thunk about it? There was a crazy side to the miracle of the Sun in Fatima; now I understand.

So, President Erdogan noticed the bizarre attitude of the Vatican, and said “I will call the Pope”… and the elephant gave birth to a mouse: a politically correct, muted, neutral, very pro-inclusion protestation. This is light years away from any sadness about the public, state sponsored attack on Jesus Christ, and His main Mystery, the Holy Mass. This damage control is the worthy counterpart of the gaslighting emanating from the Olympic committee; crassly ignoring that there was an assault on Jesus-Christ. And the closing ceremony, while not as provocative, was just plain Luciferian.

The grand child of the famous Russian writer, Peter Tolstoi, does not mince his words on France (while intelligently aware that there are some good people remaining, friends of the natural and divine order of things); and you can sense Russia will be happy to rid this world of these perverts, whose power is at an end. Sure enough, the war is reaching new escalation this summer.

VIII GOD WILL DEFEND HIS HONOR

Nato, Germany, England, Poland are now vying for confrontation against Russia, quite foolishly, because the military power of the latter is on the ascendent. The Ukrainians fought bravely, and they were the first army of Europe; their fall gave the Russian army to grow in size and most crucially in experience, and the Poles are learning nothing from History, like the blinded, corrupt ideologues of Brussels. Europe is ripe for punishment, its soldiers do not have anything near the toughness and courage of Ukrainian warriors.

There again, the scale of things, and I am not talking about the emergence of the other giant: China!

The atrocious genocide going on in Palestine should not make us forget the cruel war between the US and China in Congo, for the control of rare earths: 7 million dead and counting. There is also a serious war in Sudan, the life line of the Suez Canal is almost cut, the fentanyl crisis in the US is a de facto act of war, and, again the US are losing. The Wuhan gain of function experiment, followed by the covid vaccine experiment was a true crime against humanity and sent to their grave around 30 million people, and is still having catastrophic health and population results. In most countries affected by it, the already low birth rate was lowered by a further 10%, while excess deaths are still around 15%. Wars are more hybrid now, but the body count is about to exceed the XXth century.

In any case, we won’t need many wars, mushroom clouds (all nuclear treaties are gone in smoke, more or less, the intermediate range missiles are back, and safety mechanisms that worked in the cold war are almost all cancelled). Nor do we need Bill Gates’ depopulation vaccines to see, approaching from afar, the end of mankind. All continents are plunging demographically, except black Africa, who is beginning to ebb just now. Korea is a taste of things to come: in the city of Busan, which is tagged with the official category of ‘extinction’, the birth rate there is 0.4 children per women, five times below the replacement rate of 2,1! Went for a walk for two hours in the city… and saw two kids in total. By the middle of the century humanity will begin to decrease, and several nations will enter into extinction, as Our Lady foretold.

GOD WATCHES

I’m just saying the above that we may understand that it is not just the Church that is in agony: the whole world groans, and is heading for an immense disaster, extinction. How can it be otherwise? The world exists for the purpose of sending souls to Heaven, and the scale to Heaven is the Catholic Church.

But God is the master of his garden, and usually conserves; destroying only what is already dead, and restarting periodically things that were seemingly lost, like the poor people enslaved in the satanic pre-Colombian cultures, before Our Lady of Guadalupe arrived. They were all of a sudden drawn away from the deepest darkness, just like the slave empires of Africa were evangelized in a mere few decades. History, they say, always repeats itself. Our Lord was born for us in Bethleem, when the whole humanity was “sitting into the shadow of darkness”. At first he was quite unnoticed, yet “in all the nations their sound was heard”, the sound of his Apostles. He did it once for His Kingship, as announced. He will do it again for her Divine Motherhood or Queenship, as announced by Our Lady of Fatima and many other private revelations, both approved and not yet approved.

COGITAVI DIES ANTIQUOS ET ANNOS AETERNOS IN MENTE HABUI

If we think about what happened in History, we will see that things will happen again now. And eternity is the matchstick we use to put into perspective the tremendous loss of souls of today; to compare it with the triumph and massive gain of souls that will follow. Luther sent millions to hell, but the Church woke up, reformed itself, and the Gates of Heaven were opened again. Same yesterday, same now, nihil novi sub sole.

In the light of eternity, things look a lot better; “My ways are not your ways”, sayeth the Lord. 1000 years is nothing to God, and whenever He pleases, life springs again vigorously. Simultaneously, He really cares for those who, like Abraham and Tobias, hold strong in the expectation of His “Right Hand”, His infinite power. Nothing is greater than to testify that God is the God of life, *Deus vivorum*, when death seems so triumphant.

CONCLUSION

“Condemnandus, sed non condemnabile”

“Condemnable, yet not to be condemned” were the words of St Pius X when some zealots were pointing to him the flaws of a certain Charles Maurras, and his “Action Française”. It is certainly not the time to condemn Vigano, who is shooting, overall, in the right direction. We gave a multi-year benefit of the doubt to Bishop Fellay, prior 2012, why not now with Archbishop Vigano, a prelate? Those who have misgivings should wait just a bit more; I’m sure he’ll stay in the fight.

As forewarned by Archbishop Lefebvre himself, the situation in the Church has worsened, and the game plan of the novus ordo has changed like the conditions of WW2 differed from the WW1 battlefield. Therefore, we should refrain to condemn, nay, refrain to deny our friendship to those who may be tempted to be sedevacantists, provided they themselves do not make a religion of their own opinion. Ad multos annos Karole Maria.

Are we sure that it is just our little boat that shall morph into the triumphant vessel of a resurrected Catholic Church? Are we sure we have held our own perfectly in recent years, when in fact many of us, who used to be so strong and full of faith, became so weak and failed miserably in the fight against the conciliar church… In view of that, can we find an Archbishop Lefebvre bis, and toss whoever does not come perfectly to his stature and wisdom?

As he himself humbly admitted, Vigano has left the years slip by, and is now advanced in age, so, can we give him a chance to contribute to the solution of the Crisis? He knows he is going to face God soon, and has elected to say the truth, no matter what. At the very least we should not stop him starting a seminary in Italy, one of the empty corners of the Resistance; and at the very best help him in his honest intent to defend the Faith. So far, he has done a lot of good. Many faithful have profited from his courage to say things out loud… and God is watching:

Heaven drenched in rain the disgusting opening of the Paris Olympics, then the Lord plunged the “City of Lights” in a long power cut, leaving only His Sacred Heart illuminated, on the hill of Montmartre. Jesus seems to sleep, yet it is written, “I sleep, but my Heart watches”.